



1400 K Street, Suite 400 • Sacramento, California 95814
Phone: 916.658.8200 Fax: 916.658.8240
www.cacities.org

October 18, 2012

TO: Honorable Members of the California State Legislature
FROM: Dan Carrigg, Legislative Director
RE: League 2012 Legislative Vote Records on Key City Bills

Attached is the 2012 report on legislative vote records compiled by the League of California Cities. It contains floor vote records on eight Senate bills and eleven Assembly bills. The bills identified reflect measures both supported and opposed by the League that we advised the legislature would likely have significant impacts on cities.

Although the dissolution of redevelopment agencies continues to cause the loss of jobs, housing and infrastructure projects, cities accepted the outcome of the California Supreme Court's decision and were prepared to move on. Early in the session we appreciated the positive effort by the Assembly Speaker with AB 1585 (Pérez) to enact reasonable clean-up to AB 1X 26 of 2011. Regrettably, after that measure passed the Assembly in March with an urgency clause and bipartisan support, it was not provided a hearing in that form in the Senate. Later, cities were deeply disappointed with key portions of the redevelopment budget trailer bill, AB 1484 that the League advised the legislature will threaten funding for city public safety and other core services through various property and sales tax claw-back mechanisms. Given these potential significant negative impacts, the League has assigned 25% of the total score to votes on AB 1484.

Still, the 2012 session was one in which important progress was made. The passage of pension reform in AB 340 (Furutani) was a very positive step toward restoring the sustainability of public pensions. Since pension reform was a top priority for the League, we have also assigned 25% of the total score to votes on this bill. Votes on the remaining bills are combined and assigned the remaining 50% weight.

In the attached packet, we also caution our members that vote records alone do not tell the full legislative story, and we urge them to use the report only as the starting point for a discussion with you about your policy choices in 2012. We pointed out examples of other ways in which legislators were helpful to cities in 2012, even if their final vote might not reflect a supportive position.

Our state and cities are not well served by continuing division. Each level of government plays a unique and vital role serving the people of California. The League welcomes a continued dialogue with you in 2013 about how we can better collaborate to serve the people of California and our cities. City leaders remain committed to providing critical municipal services, enhancing community quality of life, and investing in infrastructure—all of which will support an improved economy. We welcome the chance to work with you in partnership to move California, its cities and residents forward.

Thank you for your public service. Please let me know if you have any questions about this report. I can be reached at (916) 658-8222 or carriggd@cacities.org.

2012 Legislative Vote Records on Key City Bills

The 2012 Legislative Session was dominated by state budget difficulties and positioning over initiatives on the November ballot. As AB 1X 26 of 2011 took effect in conformance with the Supreme Court's *Matosantos* decision, 2012 meant the end of redevelopment. There was a positive attempt to address redevelopment dissolution issues in AB 1585 (Pérez), which passed the Assembly with an urgency clause and bipartisan vote, but regrettably the measure in that form was never allowed a hearing in the Senate. Ultimately a budget trailer bill, AB 1484, containing many aggressive and potentially unconstitutional provisions focused on maximizing potential state revenue, was passed without changes. The League recently filed a lawsuit challenging the constitutionality of AB 1484 over various property and sales tax claw-back provisions which create vulnerabilities for core city public safety and other services.

But the news for 2012 is not all negative: major progress was made on pension reform, a top League priority, through the passage of AB 340 (Furutani). Other measures helpful to cities and supported by the League such as SB 214 (Wolk), SB 965 (Wright), AB 1098 (Carter) and SB 1278 (Wolk) passed the Legislature. Some bills opposed by the League which undermined local authority were stopped in the second house or amended to remove opposition.

The League compiled its scorecard based on floor actions on bills important to cities during the 2012 session. In the Senate, votes on a total of 8 bills are listed; in the Assembly, however, there were several other significant floor votes so a total of 11 bills are listed. Results on bills listed in this vote record which reached the Governor's desk are listed below with the bill descriptions.

Given redevelopment's importance to many cities, votes on the flawed redevelopment trailer bill, AB 1484, are given 25% weight. In recognition of the importance of pension reform, votes on AB 340 are also given 25% weight. Votes on the remaining bills are combined and assigned the remaining 50% weight. The total combined score is listed in the far right column. Votes consistent with the League's position are marked with a "+" sign, and those counter to the League's position are marked with a "-" sign. Abstentions, which can be important, are reflected with either "NV+" or "NV-" sign. Absences are noted with an "ABS" sign, and are not counted as part of the final tally.

Some Comments and Cautions on Vote Records:

- 1) The most powerful tool a legislator has is their vote. Thus legislators should be held accountable for votes, but a sampling of legislative floor votes does not always provide the full story. The League took action on many bills that are not on this list. Some bills were stopped in committees; many were amended to remove concerns. A legislator may have helped in committee or in behind-the-scenes efforts to secure an amendment or broker a compromise. A legislator may have sponsored legislation or voted on other measures important to their city not reflected in this listing. Thus, a vote record only provides a partial picture.
- 2) Keep the relative importance of these bills and how they affect your community in mind. For cities, struggling with the aftermath of redevelopment, a vote on AB 1484 will outweigh most other bills on the list.
- 3) To protect local control and revenue, the League is compelled to play more defense than offense. Thus "No" votes and abstentions ("NV+") on bills the League is opposed to often carry more weight.
- 4) The League is a non-partisan organization and focuses its efforts in representing the interests of cities. The reality of the legislative process, however, is that most bills that make it to the Senate or Assembly floors are authored by Democrat legislators. Few Republican-authored bills make it through the committee process.
- 5) Most bills on the list only required a majority vote. Democrats have 25 out of 40 seats in the Senate and 52 out of 80 seats in the Assembly. This means that the Democrat majority can usually pass bills without needing Republican votes. It also means that not all Democrats need to vote for bills.

Ways to Use This Document:

- 1) Have a conversation with your legislator about the 2012 Session, and include the vote record as part of that conversation.
- 2) If your legislator has a good record on local control, thank them publicly. They deserve it.
- 3) If your legislator's vote record is weak, ask them why. Members often try to find ways of helping while avoiding bucking leadership or a powerful sponsor. Ask your legislator what else you should take into account.
- 4) Evaluate the conversation. Is there a reliable commitment for a continued or *improved* level of responsiveness to their city's issues?
- 5) For elected city officials that provide legislative endorsements, consider whether or not the legislator merits your support.

Legislation Included on League 2012 Vote Record:

AB 340 (Furutani). Pension Reform.

League Position: Support

This measure contains comprehensive reforms to state and local public pension programs to improve sustainability and achieve significant savings for taxpayers and public agencies over the long term. Status: Signed by Governor.

AB 1098 (Carter). Recently Incorporated Cities/Inhabited Annexations.

League Position: Support

This measure was drafted at the end of session to address a critical funding problem experienced by the state's recently incorporated cities and cities with inhabited annexations due to the loss of city vehicle license fees (VLF). These funds were taken from these communities by the enactment of SB 89 of 2011, which swept all VLF from cities. Status: Vetoed by Governor.

AB 1484 (Budget Committee). Redevelopment Trailer Bill.

League Position: Oppose, Unless Amended

This budget trailer bill contained major revisions to AB X1 26, the redevelopment dissolution law. The League opposed this measure due to provisions that allowed for the claw-back of local sales and use tax and property tax, and other provisions that undermined due process for successor agencies. Status: Signed by Governor.

AB 1585 (Pérez). Redevelopment Clean-up.

League Position: Support (March 26, 2012, Assembly Floor Vote)

This measure, *as it was voted on the Assembly floor on March 26*, contained consensus clean-up to AB 1X 26 of 2011, the redevelopment elimination bill. The bill contained an urgency clause and secured significant bi-partisan support. Regrettably, the measure, in this form, was never granted a hearing in the Senate. Later in the session, the bill was used for another matter. Status: Not Applicable.

AB 1692 (Wieckowski). Municipal Bankruptcy.

League Position: Oppose

This measure proposed to unravel major aspects of AB 506 (Wieckowski) of 2011, which was agreed to with the League and enacted only months earlier to provide a pre-bankruptcy mediation opportunity for agencies in fiscal distress. This measure passed the Assembly but did not proceed to a floor vote in the Senate. Status: Died in Senate Rules.

AB 2231 (Fuentes). Sidewalks: Liability for Repair.

League Position: Oppose

This measure would have required voter approval before cities and counties could implement state law that states that property owners adjacent to sidewalks are responsible for sidewalk repair. This measure passed the Assembly but did not proceed to a floor vote in the Senate. Status: Died in Senate Appropriations.

AB 2312 (Ammiano). Marijuana Regulatory System.

League Position: Oppose

This measure would have established a statewide medical marijuana regulatory system and created more questions than guidance on this complicated and contentious issue. This measure passed the Assembly but did not proceed to a floor vote in the Senate. Status: Died in Senate Business, Professions and Economic Development.

AB 2451 (Pérez). Public Safety: Death Benefits Increase.

League Position: Oppose

This measure would have significantly increased local costs by doubling the statute of limitations from 240 weeks to 480 weeks for a presumptive death benefit claim for cancer, tuberculosis, or MRSA to be filed on behalf of a firefighter or peace officer. Status: Vetoed by Governor.

SB 214 (Wolk). Infrastructure Financing Districts.

League Position: Support

This measure, drafted with League assistance, proposed a long-needed rewrite of existing Infrastructure Financing Districts Law which would have enabled this tax-increment financing tool to assist with the financing of a wide variety of local infrastructure. Status: Vetoed by Governor.

SB 965 (Wright). Water Boards: Ex Parte Communication.

League Position: Support

This measure removes *ex parte* communication prohibitions that prevent a constructive dialogue with State Water Resource Control Board and Regional Water Quality Control Board members. Status: Signed by Governor.

SB 1002 (Yee). Format for Public Documents.

League Position: Oppose, Unless Amended (May 31, Senate Floor Vote)

This measure, *as it was voted on by the Senate on May 31*, proposed to amend the California Public Records Act (CPRA) in a way that changed the fundamental premise of the CPRA and put costly burdens on already struggling public agencies. After significant lobbying by the League, the bill was rewritten into a study bill in the Assembly Appropriations Committee and the League removed its opposition. Thus, the only floor vote reflected in the League's scorecard is the May 31, Senate floor vote. Status: Vetoed by Governor.

SB 1278 (Wolk). Flood Control.

League Position: Support

This measure revises the flood hazard planning and development requirements for those cities and counties located within the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley required to meet 200-year flood standards. Status: Signed by Governor.



- + Legislator voted with League on a bill.
- Legislator voted against League on a bill.
- NV+** Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill opposed by the League, which counts as supporting League's position.
- NV-** Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill supported by the League, which count as opposing the League's position.
- ABS** Legislator was absent from the day's session due to health, legislative district business, or other personal reasons. (Absences are not counted as part of the final ratio.)
- INC** Incomplete, a final score is not provided given an insufficient number of votes.

								S Floor Only 5/31				
SENATE REPORT CARD	AB 1484	AB 340		AB 1098	AB 2451	SB 214	SB 965	SB 1002	SB 1278			
League Position	OUA	Support	%	Support	Oppose	Support	Support	OUA	Support	Ratio	%	Final Average
Alquist, Elaine (D-13)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Anderson, Joel (R-36)	+	-	50%	+	+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Berryhill, Tom (R-14)	+	+	100%	+	NV+	-	+	NV+	+	5/6	83%	92%
Blakeslee, Sam (R-15)	+	+	100%	+	ABS	-	+	-	ABS	2/4	50%	75%
Calderon, Ronald (D-30)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Cannella, Anthony (R-12)	+	+	100%	+	NV+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Corbett, Ellen (D-10)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Correa, Lou (D-34)	+	+	100%	+	-	-	+	-	+	3/6	50%	75%
De León, Kevin (D-22)	-	+	50%	+	ABS	NV-	+	-	ABS	2/4	50%	50%
DeSaulnier, Mark (D-07)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Dutton, Bob (R-31)	+	+	100%	+	NV+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Emmerson, Bill (R-37)	+	+	100%	+	NV+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Evans, Noreen (D-02)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Fuller, Jean (R-18)	+	+	100%	+	+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Gaines, Ted (R-01)	+	+	100%	+	+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Hancock, Loni (D-09)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Harman, Tom (R-35)	+	+	100%	+	+	-	+	NV+	NV-	4/6	67%	84%
Hernandez, Ed (D-24)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Huff, Robert (R-29)	+	+	100%	+	+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Kehoe, Christine (D-39)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
LaMalfa, Doug (R-04)	+	+	100%	NV-	+	-	+	-	+	3/6	50%	75%
Leno, Mark (D-03)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Lieu, Ted (D-28)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Liu, Carol (D-21)	-	+	50%	NV-	NV+	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Lowenthal, Alan (D-27)	+	+	100%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Negrete McLeod, Gloria (D-32)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Padilla, Alex (D-20)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Pavley, Fran (D-23)	+	+	100%	NV-	-	ABS	+	NV+	+	3/5	60%	80%
Price, Curren (D-26)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Rubio, Michael (D-16)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Runner, Sharon (R-17)	ABS	ABS	INC	ABS	+	ABS	ABS	ABS	+	2/2	100%	INC
Simitian, Joe (D-11)	-	+	50%	+	NV+	+	+	-	+	5/6	83%	67%
Steinberg, Darrell (D-06)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	NV-	-	+	3/6	50%	50%
Strickland, Anthony (R-19)	+	+	100%	+	NV+	-	+	ABS	+	4/5	80%	90%
Vargas, Juan (D-40)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	59%
Walters, Mimi (R-33)	+	+	100%	+	+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Wolk, Lois (D-05)	-	+	50%	NV-	NV+	+	NV-	-	+	3/6	50%	50%
Wright, Roderick (D-25)	+	+	100%	+	-	+	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Wyland, Mark (R-38)	+	+	100%	+	NV+	-	+	-	+	4/6	67%	84%
Yee, Leland (D-08)	-	+	50%	+	-	+	NV-	-	+	3/6	50%	50%

NOTE: Final Average percentage is rounded up.



+ Legislator voted with League on a bill.
 - Legislator voted against League on a bill.

NV+ Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill opposed by the League, which counts as supporting League's position.

NV- Legislator did not vote, or abstained on a bill supported by the League, which count as opposing the League's position.

ABS Legislator was absent from the day's session due to health, legislative district business, or other personal reasons. (Absences are not counted as part of the final ratio.)

INC Incomplete, a final score is not provided given an insufficient number of votes.

					A Floor Only 3/26											
ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	AB 1484	AB 340		AB 1098	AB 1585	AB 1692	AB 2231	AB 2312	AB 2451	SB 214	SB 965	SB 1278				
League Position	OUA	Support	%	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Support	Ratio	%		Final Average
Achadjian, Katcho (R-33)	+	+	100%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	6/9	67%		84%
Alejo, Luis (D-28)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Allen, Michael (D-07)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	4/9	44%		47%
Ammiano, Tom (D-13)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	NV-	+	5/9	56%		53%
Atkins, Toni (D-76)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Beall, Jim (D-24)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Berryhill, Bill (R-26)	+	+	100%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	7/9	78%		89%
Block, Marty (D-78)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Blumenfield, Robert (D-40)	-	+	50%	-	+	-	-	NV+	-	+	-	+	4/9	44%		47%
Bonilla, Susan (D-11)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Bradford, Steven (D-51)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	NV+	NV-	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Brownley, Julia (D-41)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	-	NV-	NV-	+	3/9	33%		42%
Buchanan, Joan (D-15)	-	+	50%	+	+	+	+	NV+	-	NV-	+	+	7/9	78%		64%
Butler, Betsy (D-53)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	NV-	+	4/9	44%		47%
Calderon, Charles (D-58)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	NV+	-	+	+	+	7/9	78%		64%
Campos, Nora (D-23)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Carter, Wilmer Amina (D-62)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Cedillo, Gil (D-45)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Chesbro, Wesley (D-01)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	NV+	+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Conway, Connie (R-34)	+	NV-	50%	+	-	+	NV+	+	+	-	+	+	7/9	78%		64%
Cook, Paul (R-65)	+	NV-	50%	+	NV-	NV+	NV+	+	NV+	-	+	+	7/9	78%		64%
Davis, Mike (D-48)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Dickinson, Roger (D-09)	-	+	50%	-	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Donnelly, Tim (R-59)	+	-	50%	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	5/9	56%		53%
Eng, Mike (D-49)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Feuer, Mike (D-42)	-	+	50%	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Fletcher, Nathan (R-75)	NV+	+	100%	+	+	NV+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	6/9	67%		84%
Fong, Paul (D-22)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	NV-	+	4/9	44%		47%
Fuentes, Felipe (D-39)	-	+	50%	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	4/9	44%		47%
Furutani, Warren (D-55)	-	+	50%	-	+	-	NV+	-	-	NV-	NV-	+	3/9	33%		42%
Gaines, Beth (R-04)	+	+	100%	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	7/9	78%		89%
Galgiani, Cathleen (D-17)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Garrick, Martin (R-74)	+	-	50%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	7/9	78%		64%
Gatto, Mike (D-43)	-	+	50%	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%		53%
Gordon, Richard (D-21)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	NV+	+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%		59%
Gorell, Jeff (R-37)	+	+	100%	NV-	ABS	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	4/8	50%		75%
Grove, Shannon (R-32)	+	-	50%	-	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	5/9	56%		53%

					A Floor Only 3/26										
ASSEMBLY REPORT CARD	AB 1484	AB 340		AB 1098	AB 1585	AB 1692	AB 2231	AB 2312	AB 2451	SB 214	SB 965	SB 1278			Final
League Position	OUA	Support	%	Support	Support	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Oppose	Support	Support	Support	Ratio	%	Average
Hagman, Curt (R-60)	+	NV-	50%	+	NV-	+	-	+	NV+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Halderman, Linda (R-29)	NV+	NV-	50%	+	NV-	+	-	+	NV+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Hall, Isadore (D-52)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Harkey, Diane (R-73)	+	-	50%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Hayashi, Mary (D-18)	-	-	0%	+	+	NV+	-	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%	34%
Hernández, Roger (D-57)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	ABS	4/8	50%	50%
Hill, Jerry (D-19)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	5/9	56%	53%
Huber, Alyson (D-10)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	4/9	44%	47%
Hueso, Ben (D-79)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	4/9	44%	47%
Huffman, Jared (D-06)	+	+	100%	+	+	NV+	+	-	-	+	-	+	6/9	67%	84%
Jeffries, Kevin (R-66)	+	+	100%	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	7/9	78%	89%
Jones, Brian (R-77)	+	-	50%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Knight, Stephen (R-36)	+	+	100%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	5/9	56%	78%
Lara, Ricardo (D-50)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Logue, Dan (R-03)	NV+	+	100%	+	-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	84%
Lowenthal, Bonnie (D-54)	-	+	50%	NV-	ABS	NV+	NV+	-	-	+	NV-	+	4/8	50%	50%
Ma, Fiona (D-12)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Mansoor, Allan (R-68)	+	-	50%	+	-	ABS	-	ABS	+	-	NV-	+	3/7	43%	47%
Mendoza, Tony (D-56)	+	-	50%	+	+	ABS	-	ABS	-	+	+	+	5/7	71%	61%
Miller, Jeff (R-71)	+	+	100%	+	+	+	ABS	+	-	-	+	+	6/8	75%	88%
Mitchell, Holly (D-47)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Monning, William (D-27)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	NV+	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Morrell, Mike (R-63)	+	+	100%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	84%
Nestande, Brian (R-64)	+	+	100%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	84%
Nielsen, Jim (R-02)	+	+	100%	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	5/9	56%	78%
Norby, Chris (R-72)	-	+	50%	+	-	NV+	-	NV+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Olsen, Kristin (R-25)	+	+	100%	+	NV-	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	7/9	78%	89%
Pan, Richard (D-05)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Perea, Henry (D-31)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Pérez, John (D-46)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Pérez, V. Manuel (D-80)	+	+	100%	+	+	-	-	NV+	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%	84%
Portantino, Anthony (D-44)	+	+	100%	NV-	+	NV+	+	+	-	+	+	+	7/9	78%	89%
Silva, Jim (R-67)	+	+	100%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	84%
Skinner, Nancy (D-14)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	3/9	33%	42%
Smyth, Cameron (R-38)	+	+	100%	+	+	+	-	+	-	-	+	+	6/9	67%	84%
Solorio, Jose (D-69)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Swanson, Sandré (D-16)	NV+	-	50%	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Torres, Norma (D-61)	-	+	50%	+	+	NV+	-	-	-	+	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Valadao, David (R-30)	+	+	100%	+	NV-	ABS	-	ABS	+	-	+	+	4/7	57%	79%
Wagner, Donald (R-70)	+	NV-	50%	+	NV-	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	6/9	67%	59%
Wieckowski, Bob (D-20)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Williams, Das (D-35)	-	+	50%	NV-	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	+	+	5/9	56%	53%
Yamada, Mariko (D-08)	-	+	50%	+	+	-	NV+	-	-	+	NV-	+	5/9	56%	53%

NOTE: Final Average percentage is rounded up.