



March 29, 2021

The Honorable Connie Leyva
California State Senate
State Capitol Room 4061
Sacramento, CA 95814

RE: Senate Bill 278 (Leyva) - Public Employees' Retirement System. Disallowed Compensation. Benefit Adjustments. Notice of Opposition [As Introduced 1/29/2021]

Dear Senator Leyva:

The Los Angeles County Division of the League of California Cities (Division), representing 86 cities in the county, must respectfully oppose SB 278 (Leyva), which would require public agencies to directly pay retirees and/or their beneficiaries, disallowed retirement benefits using general fund dollars. Our objections to this measure are rooted in policy, operational cost, and legal concerns that will inevitably face virtually every state and local government agency should this measure be signed into law.

CalPERS has no Incentive to Properly Calculate Benefit Payments:

SB 278 would place 100 percent of the total liability for disallowed retirement benefits on public agencies—abdicating all responsibility previously held by CalPERS to ensure that retirement benefits are calculated and administered correctly. As such, SB 278 is a de facto and retroactive benefit enhancement measure that would further strain our budget at a time where the impacts of COVID-19 and retirement obligations are making it exceedingly difficult to effectively provide critical services for the public

Requirements under SB 278 will Create Compliance and Implementation Issues:

SB 278 would require us to issue direct General Fund payments to retirees, which would trigger GASB 68 reporting requirements. Given the unique circumstances surrounding these overpayments, we would have to track and report these liabilities. Such additional responsibilities will require us to hire costly outside actuarial and legal experts to ensure that they follow federal reporting laws.

This measure also fails to consider the common practice of employees moving from jurisdiction to jurisdiction throughout their careers. Under normal circumstances, CalPERS pays out the benefit if an employee works for multiple agencies who enjoy reciprocity. However, under SB 278 it is unclear. Such confusion will lead to compliance, legal and implementation challenges. The lack of accountability by the administrator of public retirement benefits would lead to more confusion and compliance challenges for public agencies.

2020-21 OFFICERS AND BOARD OF DIRECTORS

PRESIDENT
BLANCA PACHECO
DOWNEY

VICE PRESIDENT
JAMES BOZAJIAN
CALABASAS

SECRETARY/TREASURER
ANDREW CHOU
DIAMOND BAR

STATE LEAGUE DIRECTOR
ANA MARIA QUINTANA
BELL

IMMEDIATE PAST PRESIDENT
JESS TALAMANTES
BURBANK

REGIONAL DIRECTORS

ARROYO VERDUGO JPA
DIANA MAHMUD
SOUTH PASADENA

GATEWAY CITIES COG
STEVE CROFT
LAKEWOOD

LAS VIRGENES-MALIBU COG
KAREN FARRER
MALIBU

SAN FERNANDO VALLEY COG
MARSHA MCLEAN
SANTA CLARITA

SAN GABRIEL VALLEY COG
MARGARET CLARK
ROSEMEAD

SOUTH BAY CITIES COG
BEA DIERINGER
ROLLING HILLS

WESTSIDE CITIES COG
SUE HIMMELRICH
SANTA MONICA

CITY OF LOS ANGELES
JOHN WICKHAM
LOS ANGELES

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
JENNIFER QUAN

Gift of Public Funds is a Violation of the California Constitution:

Under SB 278, cities would be issuing unlawful, payments to former employees and/or their beneficiaries. Continued payment of a disallowed benefit to a retiree would constitute a gift of public funds, in violation of Section 6, Article 16 of the California Constitution. Such violation would leave a public agency left to defend itself from costly litigation lawsuits filed by members of the public.

For these reasons, the Los Angeles County Division opposes SB 278 (Leyva).

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Blanca Pacheco', with a large, sweeping flourish at the end.

Blanca Pacheco
President
Los Angeles County Division
League of California Cities