

# HOUSING, COMMUNITY & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT POLICY COMMITTEE

Thursday, April 7, 2011

10:00 a.m. – 3:00 p.m.

Doubletree Hotel, 222 N. Vineyard Avenue, Ontario Room: Harvest

**Special Order**  
**Joint Policy Committee State Budget and Redevelopment Update**  
**10:00 a.m., Harvest Room, Doubletree Hotel**

## A G E N D A

### I. Welcome and Introductions

### II. Public Comment

### III. Proposition 26 Update (*Attachment A*) *Information Item*

### IV. State Budget Update (*Attachment B*) *Information Item*

Continued discussion on State Budget Items relevant to HCED, particularly Redevelopment

### V. State Legislative Action Items (*Attachment C*)

A. AB 1220 (Alejo). Land use and planning. Cause of actions. Time limitations. *Action Item*

B. AB 441 (Monning). State Planning. Health Impacts. *Action Item*

***Note: Due to the recent introduction of, or pending amendments to these bills, the League analyses were not yet completed at the time of agenda distribution. The full analyses will be provided prior to the policy committee meeting.***

### VI. Federal Legislative Update (*Attachment D*)

A. Community Development Block Grants *Information Item*

### VII. Adjourn: Next Meeting: Thursday, June 16, 2011, Sacramento Convention Center

#### Brown Act Reminder:

The League of California Cities' Board of Directors has a policy of complying with the spirit of open meeting laws. Generally, off-agenda items may be taken up only if:

1) Two-thirds of the policy committee members find a need for immediate action exists and the need to take action came to the attention of the policy committee after the agenda was prepared (Note: If fewer than two-thirds of policy committee members are present, taking up an off-agenda item requires a unanimous vote); or

2) A majority of the policy committee finds an emergency (for example: work stoppage or disaster) exists.

A majority of a city council may not, consistent with the Brown Act, discuss specific substantive issues among themselves at League meetings. Any such discussion is subject to the Brown Act and must occur in a meeting that complies with its requirements.

#### FPFC Reporting

Policy committee members should be aware that lunch is usually served at these meetings. The state's Fair Political Practices Commission takes the position that the value of the lunch should be reported on city officials' statement of economic interests form. Because of the service you provide at these meetings, the League takes the position that the value of the lunch should be reported as income (in return for your service to the committee) as opposed to a gift (note that this is not income for state or federal income tax purposes—just Political Reform Act reporting purposes). The League has been persistent, but unsuccessful, in attempting to change the FPFC's mind about this interpretation. As such, we feel we need to let you know about the issue so you can determine your course of action.

If you would prefer not to have to report the value of the lunches as income, we will let you know the amount so you can reimburse the League. The lunches tend to run in the \$30 to \$45 range. To review a copy of the FPFC's most recent letter on this issue, please go to [www.cacities.org/FPFCletter](http://www.cacities.org/FPFCletter) on the League's Web site.