

ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY POLICY COMMITTEE
Wednesday, September 5
9:00 a.m. – 10:30 a.m.
Room: Marina Salon E
San Diego Marriott Marquis and Marina

A G E N D A

I. Welcome and Introductions

II. Public Comment

III. Annual Conference Resolutions (Attachment A)

- Resolution #3. Desert Protection Act.
- Resolution #4. Global Warming.

IV. Adjourn

REMINDER: The 2012 policy committee appointments will end at the close of the Annual Conference; appointments for 2013 can be requested thereafter. Members seeking appointments for 2013 are urged to contact their incoming department, division, or affiliate president immediately following the Annual Conference to request reappointment. A presidential appointment from the League's incoming president may also be requested, although members are encouraged to first exhaust appointment opportunities through their division or department presidents. These requests should be sent c/o Meg Desmond, 1400 K Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 or via e-mail: mdesmond@cacities.org. Please include a brief bio. If you have questions regarding the appointment process, please call (916) 658-8224, send an e-mail to mdesmond@cacities.org, or visit our website: www.cacities.org/polcomm

Brown Act Reminder: The League of California Cities' Board of Directors has a policy of complying with the spirit of open meeting laws. Generally, off-agenda items may be taken up only if:

- 1) Two-thirds of the policy committee members find a need for immediate action exists and the need to take action came to the attention of the policy committee after the agenda was prepared (Note: If fewer than two-thirds of policy committee members are present, taking up an off-agenda item requires a unanimous vote); or*
- 2) A majority of the policy committee finds an emergency (for example: work stoppage or disaster) exists.*

A majority of a city council may not, consistent with the Brown Act, discuss specific substantive issues among themselves at League meetings. Any such discussion is subject to the Brown Act and must occur in a meeting that complies with its requirements.

RESOLUTION REFERRED TO ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY POLICY COMMITTEE**3. RESOLUTION ENCOURAGING CALIFORNIA CITIES TO OPPOSE THE CALIFORNIA DESERT PROTECTION ACT OF 2011**

Source: City of Needles

Referred To: Environmental Quality Policy Committee

Recommendation to General Resolutions Committee:

WHEREAS, in 1993 Senator Diane Feinstein introduced the California Desert Protection Act of 1994 which became federal law and was passed by the United States Congress on October 8, 1994, and

WHEREAS, this act established the Death Valley and Joshua Tree National Parks and the Mojave National Preserve in the California desert; and

WHEREAS, this act designated 69 wilderness areas as additions to the National Wilderness Preservation System within the California Desert Conservation Area (CDCA), the Yuma District, the Bakersfield District, and the California Desert District of the Bureau of Land Management permits grazing in such areas; and

WHEREAS, the Act abolished Death Valley National Monument, established in 1933 and 1937, and incorporated its lands into a new Death Valley National Park administered as part of the National Park System. Grazing of domestic livestock was permitted to continue at no more than the then-current level. The Act also required the Secretary of the Interior to study the suitability of lands within and outside the boundaries of the park as a reservation for the Timbisha Shoshone Tribe; and

WHEREAS, the Act abolished Joshua Tree National Monument, established in 1936, and incorporated its lands into Joshua Tree National Park; and

WHEREAS, the Act established the Mojave National Preserve, consisting of approximately 1,419,800 acres (5,746 km²; 2,218.4 sq mi), and abolished the East Mojave National Scenic Area, which was designated in 1981. The preserve was to be administered in accordance with National Park System laws. Hunting, fishing and trapping were permitted as allowed by federal and state laws, with certain exceptions. Mining claims were governed by the National Park System laws, and grazing was permitted to continue at no more than the then-current level; and

WHEREAS, the Act required the Secretary of the Interior to ensure that American Indian people have access to the lands designated under the Act for traditional cultural and religious purposes, in recognition of their prior use of these lands for these purposes. Upon the request of an Indian tribe or religious community, the Secretary must temporarily close specific portions to the general public to protect the privacy of traditional cultural and religious activities; and

WHEREAS, flights by military aircraft over the lands designated by the Act were not restricted or precluded, including over flights that can be seen or heard from these lands; and

WHEREAS, Congress found that federally owned desert lands of southern California constitute a public wildland resource of extraordinary and inestimable value for current and future generations; these desert wildlands have unique scenic, historical, archeological, environmental, ecological, wildlife, cultural, scientific, educational and recreational values; the California desert public land resources are threatened by adverse pressures which impair their public and natural values; the California desert is a cohesive unit posing difficult resource protection and management challenges; statutory land unit designations are necessary to protect these lands; and

WHEREAS, Senator Dianne Feinstein, author of the 1994 California Desert Protection Act has introduced legislation “California Desert Protection Act of 2011” that will set aside new land in the Mojave Desert for conservation, recreation and other purposes; and

WHEREAS, the proposed legislation will take AN ADDITIONAL 1.6 million acres of Bureau of Land Management land out of potential development, including mining exploration, by designating two new “National Monuments”, one adjacent to the Mojave National Preserve which will take 1.5 million acres out of BLM multiple use in addition to 800,000 acres out of private ownership and one adjacent to the Joshua Tree National Park; and

WHEREAS, this legislation will result in just about every square inch of the desert spoken for, either for military use, national parks, wilderness and special conservation areas, Indian reservations and other types of land management (half of the lands under BLM management are protected under wilderness or special conservation area restrictions); and

WHEREAS, projects, such as California mandated solar energy development, that would disturb or destroy habitat must make up for that loss by purchasing private habitat at ratios of at least three acres for every one acre disturbed; and

WHEREAS, at that rate, even in the nation’s largest county, San Bernardino, just three solar projects on federal land will require an amount of private land acquisition of 22,000 acres, or roughly 34 square miles, land will come off of the county’s tax rolls and we will literally run out of mitigation land after a handful of projects; and

WHEREAS, the Federal Energy Policy Act of 2005 requires that 10,000 megawatts of renewable energy be generated on public land in the west. To meet California’s mandate of having 33 percent of our energy come from renewable sources, it requires more that 20,000 megawatts of production and they are looking mainly at public lands. If we approve that much solar, the result would be a regulatory lockdown on the rest of the Desert by the Federal Fish and Wildlife Service and the State Department of Fish and Game; and

WHEREAS, the Desert Protection Act of 1994 encompassed 1.5 million acres or 2,218.4 square miles plus an additional 800,000 acres of private land or 1,250 square miles; Fort Irwin, 1,000 square miles; 29 Palms Marine Base, 931.7 square miles and they have also applied for an additional 420,000 acres in 2008, or 659.375 square miles totaling 6,059.48 square miles; and

WHEREAS, the California Desert Protection Act of 2011 will take OVER 2,300 square miles, not including the acreage of wilderness located outside any of the above mentioned areas (this total mileage would roughly encompass Rhode Island, Delaware, and Connecticut); and

WHEREAS, these public lands have long supported a range of beneficial uses and efforts have been made to protect the desert inhabitants. Let’s not destroy the desert or our ability to use and enjoy it.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the General Assembly of the League of California Cities assembled at the Annual Conference in San Diego, September 7, 2012, that the League encourages California cities to adopt resolutions in opposition to the California Desert Protection Act of 2011.

////////

League of California Cities Staff Analysis

Staff: Kyra Ross, Legislative Representative, (916) 658-8252
Committee: Environmental Quality Policy Committee

Summary:

This resolution encourages California cities to oppose the California Desert Protection Act of 2011.

Background:

The California Desert Protection Act of 2011 (S. 138) is legislation proposed by Senator Dianne Feinstein which would provide for conservation, enhanced recreation opportunities, and development of renewable energy in the California Desert Conservation Area. The Measure would:

- Create two new national monuments: the 941,000 acres Mojave Trails National Monument along Route 66 and the 134,000 acres Sand to Snow National Monument, which connects Joshua Tree National Park to the San Bernardino Mountains.
- Add adjacent lands to Joshua Tree National Park, Death Valley National Park and Mohave National Preserve;
- Protect nearly 76 miles of waterways;
- Designate five new wilderness areas;
- Designate approximately 250,000 acres of Bureau of Land Management wilderness areas near Fort Irwin;
- Enhance recreational opportunities; and,
- Designate four existing off-highway vehicle areas in the California Desert as permanent.

S. 138 is a re-introduction of S. 2921, the California Desert Protection Act of 2010 which is now dead. S. 138 was introduced in January 2011 and was referred to the Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources. The measure has not yet been set for hearing by the Committee.

Fiscal Impact:

Unknown. No direct fiscal impact to city general funds.

Existing League Policy:

The League's Mission Statement is "to expand and protect local control for cities through education and advocacy to enhance the quality of life for all Californians."

Specific to this Resolution, existing policy offers no specific policy on this issue.

The League's Strategic Priorities for 2012, as adopted by the League Board of Directors, include:

2) Promote Local Control for Strong Cities: Support or oppose legislation and proposed constitutional amendments based on whether they advance maximum local control by city governments over city revenues, land use, redevelopment and other private activities to advance the public health, safety and welfare of city residents.

>>>>>>>>>>

RESOLUTION REFERRED TO ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY POLICY COMMITTEE

4. RESOLUTION REQUESTING CONSIDERATION OF SUSPENSION OF IMPLEMENTATION OR REVISION OF THE CALIFORNIA GLOBAL WARMING SOLUTIONS ACT (AB 32 of 2006)

Source: City of Needles
Referred To: Environmental Quality Policy Committee
Recommendation to General Resolutions Committee:

WHEREAS, in 2006 the California Legislature adopted the California Global Warming Solutions Act, commonly referred to as AB 32 (Health & Safety Code §§38500 et seq.); and

WHEREAS, AB 32 aims to reduce California's greenhouse gas emissions (GHGs) to 1990 levels by 2020 (Health & Safety Code §38550) and to 80 percent below 1990 levels by 2050; and

WHEREAS, the California Air Resources Board (CARB) is the government agency charged with determining how the AB 32 goals will be reached (Health & Safety Code §38510); and

WHEREAS, CARB's implementation of AB32 aims to reduce California's GHG emissions by 169 million metric tons of carbon dioxide equivalent (MMTCO₂E) through a variety of strategies, including sector-specific regulations, market mechanisms, voluntary measures, fees, incentives and other policies and programs; and

WHEREAS, there are portions of the state that have been designated as nonattainment for the national ambient air quality standards (NAAQS) for Ozone and PM, nonattainment for state ambient air quality standards (SAAQS) for Ozone, PM, Sulfates and Hydrogen Sulfide, and identified by CARB pursuant to as overwhelmingly impacted by transported air pollution from upwind air basins; and

WHEREAS, areas designated nonattainment are mandated under the provisions of the Federal Clean Air Act (FCAA) to require pursuant to New Source Review (NSR) rules, Best Available Control Technology (BACT) and offsetting emissions reductions (Offsets) on major new or modified stationary sources of those nonattainment air pollutants and their precursors (42 U.S.C. §§7502(c)(5), 7503) regardless of whether or not the area so designated has any control or not over the pollution causing the nonattainment finding; and

WHEREAS, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has requested that a program be developed to implement the Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD) which will require additional analysis for new or modified sources of attainment pollutants including but not limited to greenhouse gases, which will also necessitate emissions reductions and BACT in some cases for attainment pollutants; and

WHEREAS, due in part to the limited number of existing sources of air pollutants and the overwhelming impact of transport some or a majority of the cities have few if any available emissions reductions available to provide such offsets; and

WHEREAS, many technologies used to attain BACT levels of air pollution control are based upon the combustion of fossil fuels which also causes emissions of GHGs; and

WHEREAS, there are a variety of Federal regulations promulgated and proposed by the USEPA regarding greenhouse gasses that have the potential to conflict both directly and in their implementation with regulatory measures to implement AB32 as adopted and proposed by CARB; and

WHEREAS, there are a variety of other mandates and regulations at the State level (municipal waste diversion, renewable energy mandate etc.) which have the potential to conflict both directly and in due to their implementation with regulatory measures to implement AB32 as adopted and proposed by CARB; and

WHEREAS, such conflicts severely impede the cities or state as well as regulated industry efforts to comply with both the applicable Federal regulations and regulations implementing AB32; and

WHEREAS, the existing and proposed regulations on both the State and Federal level result in an overall regulatory structure that is inconsistent and confusing making it virtually impossible or incredibly slow to start any new large scale projects within the State at a time where California infrastructure and its economy are in most need of refurbishment; and

WHEREAS, the existing and proposed regulations and unclear guidelines will also make it more difficult for smaller, pollution transport impacted air districts like the MDAQMD, to properly implement and enforce the regulations;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the General Assembly of the League of California Cities assembled at the Annual Conference in San Diego, September 7, 2012, that the League encourages the existing 482 California cities to adopt resolutions requesting a suspension of the implementation of some, if not all, the regulations promulgated under the California Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32 of 2006) until such time as the legal and regulatory inconsistencies can be resolved; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that California cities request the California Air Resources Board and other applicable state agencies examine the impact of the regulations promulgated pursuant to AB 32 and for potential direct and indirect conflict with other existing regulations at both the State and Federal level including but not limited to the potential for gains in one area to jeopardize progress in another; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that California cities request the California Air Resources Board and other applicable state agencies examine the overall economic impact of the regulations promulgated pursuant to AB 32 and their interaction with other existing regulations with emphasis upon the potential for job and other economic activity "flight" from California; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that California cities request the State of California by and through its Governor, Legislature, and applicable state agencies should encourage the resolution of internal conflicts between and among existing Federal programs by supporting items including but not limited to: reopening the Federal Clean Air Act, New Source Review Reform, and efforts to regulate GHGs under a comprehensive Federal program.

////////

League of California Cities Staff Analysis on Resolution No. 4

Staff: Kyra Ross, Legislative Representative, (916) 658-8252
Committee: Environmental Quality Policy Committee

Summary:

This resolution encourages California cities to:

- 1.) Adopt resolutions requesting the suspension of the implementation of some, if not all, the regulations promulgated under the California Global Warming Solutions Act (AB 32) until such time as the legal and regulatory inconsistencies can be resolved;
- 2.) Asks cities to request the California Air Resources Board (CARB) and other applicable state agencies examine the impact of the regulations promulgated pursuant to AB 32, and for potential conflict with other existing regulations at both the State and Federal level including, but not limited to, the potential for gains in one area to jeopardize progress in another; and,
- 3.) Asks cities to request the CARB and other applicable state agencies examine the overall economic impact of the regulations promulgated pursuant to AB 32 and their interaction with other existing regulations with emphasis upon the potential for job and other economic activity “flight” from California; and,
- 4.) Asks cities to request the State to encourage the resolution of internal conflicts between and among existing Federal programs by supporting items, including but not limited to:
 - a. Reopening the Federal Clean Air Act;
 - b. New Source Review Reform; and,
 - c. Efforts to regulate greenhouse gas emissions under a comprehensive federal program.

Background:

AB 32 passed in 2006 and requires the State to reduce greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. As the implementing agency, CARB developed and passed a Scoping Plan in 2008, outlining emission reduction measures to help the state meet its statutory reduction of greenhouse gas emissions. Since 2008, a number of measures outlined in the Scoping Plan have been implemented. Measures of interest to cities include: voluntary local government 15% reduction in greenhouse gas emissions; regional transportation-related greenhouse gas targets; landfill methane control; and green building codes.

At the same time, many of California’s 15 air basins are facing ongoing challenges to meeting federal air quality standards. It’s important to note that regulation of air quality in California is separated into two levels of regulation. CARB regulates air pollution from cars, trucks, buses and other sources, often referred to as “mobile sources”. Local air districts regulate businesses and industrial facilities. Local air districts are the bodies that regulate ozone, PM 2.5 and PM 10. Ground level ozone (ozone), more commonly referred to as smog, is a pollutant that forms on hot summer days (not to be confused with the ozone that forms in the upper atmosphere or stratosphere). Ozone is not directly emitted by one source but comes from a combination of volatile organic compounds and nitrogen oxides. In the presence of sunlight, especially on hot summer days, this mixture forms ozone. Particulate Matter (PM) is made up of fine solid or liquid such as dust, fly ash, soot, smoke, aerosols, fumes, mists, and condensing vapors. US EPA has set health based standards for particles smaller than 10 microns (PM 10) and particles smaller than 2.5 microns (PM 2.5). When these particles become airborne, they can be suspended in the air for long periods of time. Both PM 10 and PM 2.5 have been determined to cause serious adverse health effects.

According to an April 2012 report by the California Air Pollution Control Officer’s Association “California’s Progress Toward Clean Air”:

Despite significant improvements, air quality remains a major source of public health concern in large metropolitan areas throughout California. The San Joaquin and South Coast Air Basin

continue to face significant challenges in meeting the federal health-based standards for ozone and fine particles, despite their regional and state-level controls on mobile and stationary sources that are the most stringent in the nation. In 2007, both regions sought extension for meeting the 1997 8-hour federal ambient air quality standard for ozone. A comparable challenge faces each region with respect to attainment of the 1997 PM_{2.5} standard. Due to continued progress in health research, the federal EPA lowered the ambient concentration for the 8-hour ozone and 24-hour PM_{2.5} standards in 2008 and 2006, respectively. The net effect of these stricter standards is to raise the performance bar for California air basins. This will extend the timeframe for attainment in highly polluted regions as well as increase the number of basins with non-attainment status. Challenges also exist for air districts across California who are in attainment with the federal standards, as they continue to strive for attainment of the State's health-based ozone and PM standards, which are more stringent than the standards adopted by the US EPA.

According to the Sponsor, areas designated nonattainment are mandated under the provision of the federal Clean Air Act to require (pursuant to New Source Review Rules) Best Available Control Technology (BACT) and offsetting emissions reduction on major new or modified stationary sources of those nonattainment air pollutants and their precursors regardless of whether or not the area so designated has any control and not over the pollution causing the nonattainment finding.

The Sponsor also notes that there are a variety of other mandates and regulations at the state level that have the potential to conflict both directly and indirectly with the implementation of AB 32 measures being proposed and implemented by CARB. Two measures pointed out by the Sponsor are the existing mandate for local jurisdictions to divert 50% of solid waste from landfills (Public Resources Code 41780) and the state Renewable Portfolio Standard (RPS) that requires all retail sellers (Investor Owned Utilities, electric service providers, and community choice aggregators) and all publicly owned utilities to procure at least 33% of electricity delivered to their retail customers from renewable resources by 2020.

Fiscal Impact:

Unknown. No direct fiscal impact to city general funds.

Existing League Policy:

Specific to this Resolution, existing policy states:

Air Quality

- The League believes cities should have the authority to establish local air quality standards and programs that are stricter than state and federal standards. The League opposes efforts to restrict such authority.
- The League opposes legislation redirecting the funds authorized by Health and Safety Code Section 44223, which are currently used by local governments for locally based air quality programs.
- The League opposes air quality legislation that restricts the land use authority of cities.

Climate Change

- The League recognizes that climate change is both immediate and long term, with the potential for profound environmental, social and economic impacts to the planet and to California.
- Through the Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006 (AB 32 (Nuñez) Chapter 488, Statutes of 2006) California has embarked on a plan that requires the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions to 1990 levels by 2020. Although uncertainty remains about the pace, distribution and magnitude of the effects of climate change, the League recognizes the need for immediate actions to mitigate the sources of greenhouse gas emissions and has adopted the following principles:
 1. Action Plans for Mitigating Greenhouse Gas Emissions. Encourage local governments to complete

an inventory of greenhouse gas emissions, set appropriate reduction targets, and create greenhouse gas emission reduction action plans.

2. Smart Growth. Consistent with the League's Smart Growth policies, encourage the adoption of land use policies designed to reduce sprawl, preserve open space, and create healthy, vibrant, and sustainable communities.
3. Green Technology Investment Assistance. Support tax credits, grants, loans and other incentives to assist the public, businesses, and local agencies that invest in energy efficient equipment and technology, and fuel efficient, low emission vehicles.
4. Energy and Water Conservation and Efficiency. Encourage energy efficiency, water efficiency, and sustainable building practices in new and existing public, residential and commercial buildings and facilities. This may include using the U.S. Green Building Council's LEED program or similar systems.
5. Increase the Use of Clean Alternative Energy. Promote the use and purchase of clean alternative energy through the development of renewable energy resources, recovery of landfill methane for energy production and waste-to-energy technologies.
6. Reduction of Vehicle Emissions in Public Agency Fleets. Support the reduction of vehicle emissions through increased fuel efficiency, use of appropriate alternative fueled vehicles, and/or low emission vehicles in public agency fleets. Encourage the use of appropriate alternative fueled vehicles, and/or low emission vehicles in private fleets.
7. Climate Change Impacts. Encourage all levels of government to share information to prepare for climate change impacts.
8. Coordinated Planning. State policy should encourage and provide incentive for cities to coordinate and share planning information with neighboring cities, counties, and other governmental entities so that there are agreed upon regional blueprints and strategies for dealing with greenhouse gas emissions.
9. Water Supply for New Development. Encourage exchange of water supply information between state and local agencies, including information on the impacts of climate change on state and local water supplies.
10. Recycles Content and Green Purchasing Policies. Encourage the adoption and implementation of recycled content and green procurement policies, if fitness and quality are equal, including the adoption of an Environmental Management System and authorization of local agencies to consider criteria other than only cost in awarding contracts for services.

Additionally, the League's Mission Statement is "to expand and protect local control for cities through education and advocacy to enhance the quality of life for all Californians."

Finally, the League's Strategic Priorities for 2012, as adopted by the League Board of Directors, include:

In addition, the Strategic Priorities for 2012, as adopted by the League Board of Directors, are to:

1) Support Sustainable and Secure Public Employee Pensions and Benefits: Work in partnership with state leaders and other stakeholders to promote sustainable and secure public pensions and other post-employment benefits (OPEBs) to help ensure responsive and affordable public services for the people of our state and cities.

2) Promote Local Control for Strong Cities: Support or oppose legislation and proposed constitutional amendments based on whether they advance maximum local control by city governments over city revenues,

land use, redevelopment and other private activities to advance the public health, safety and welfare of city residents.

3) Build Strong Partnerships for a Stronger Golden State: Collaborate with other public and private groups and leaders to reform the structure and governance, and promote transparency, fiscal integrity, and responsiveness of our state government and intergovernmental system.